arXiv:1412.3196v1 [physics.chem-ph] 10 Dec 2014

Single-enzyme kinetics with branched pathways: exact theory and series expansion

Ashok Garai[1] and Debashish Chowdhury[2]

[1] *Centre for Condensed Matter Theory, Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India*
[2] *Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India*

The progress of the successive rounds of catalytic conversion of substrates into product(s) by a single enzyme is characterized by the distribution of turnover times. Establishing the most general form of dependence of this distribution on the substrate concentration [S] is one of the fundamental challenges in single molecule enzymology. The distribution of the times of dwell of a molecular motor at the successive positions on its track is an analogous quantity. We derive approximate series expansions for the [ATP]-dependence of the first two moments of the dwell time distributions of motors that catalyze hydrolysis of ATP to draw input energy. Comparison between our results for motors with branched pathways and the corresponding expressions reported earlier for linear enzymatic pathways provides deep insight into the effects of the branches. Such insight is likely to help in discovering the most general form of [S]-dependence of these fundamental distributions.

Enzymes are proteins that catalyze chemical reactions. The simplest, and most extensively studied, enzymatic reaction is

$$E + S \underset{\omega_{-1}}{\overset{\omega_{+1}}{\rightleftharpoons}} ES \overset{\omega_2}{\rightarrow} E + P \tag{1}$$

where E, S and P denote the enzyme, substrate and product, respectively. The rate V of the reaction in bulk was derived by Michaelis and Menten in a celebrated classic [1] that marked its centenary last year [2, 3]. A more general form of this rate V, derived by Briggs and Haldane about a decade later [4], is now usually referred to as the Michaelis-Menten (MM) equation.

For a chemical reaction where a bulk of substrate is catalyzed by a *single molecule* of the corresponding enzyme [5–7], rate is an ill-defined concept; instead the time taken by the enzyme for its successive turnovers is the prime quantity of interest. The statistical properties of the turnover is well characterized by the probability distribution of the turnover times (DTT). Deriving exact analytical expressions for this distribution, particularly its dependence on the substrate concentration $[S]$, has been one of the fundamental challenges in single-molecule enzymology.

This work is motivated by a specific class of enzymes, called molecular motor [8–10], that catalyzes hydrolysis of ATP (and, therefore, referred to as ATPase) to draw input energy for their mechanical movement. The distribution of dwell times (DDT) of a motor characterizes its stochastic alternating pause-and-translocation along a filamentous track. The DDT of a molecular motor is the analog of the DTT of the enzymes [11, 12]. Although we use the terminology of ATPase (and use the specific notation [ATP] instead of the generic symbol [S] for the substrate concentration), all the general conclusions drawn here remain equally valid for other enzymes with identical kinetic schemes.

The first two moments of DTT have been at the main focus of attention many recent works. The inverse of the mean turnover time $\langle t\rangle$ is the average rate V of the reaction. Similarly the fluctuations in the turnover time is expressed by the *randomness parameter* r [11]. In wide varieties of situations the mean turnover time $\langle t\rangle$ has been found [6, 7, 11] to obey the MM eqn.

$$\frac{1}{V} = \langle t\rangle = \frac{1}{\omega_2}\left(1 + \frac{K_M}{[ATP]}\right) \tag{2}$$

where the Michaelis constant K_M is given by

$$K_M = \frac{\omega_{-1} + \omega_2}{\omega_{+1}} \tag{3}$$

So far as the dependence of r on [ATP] is concerned, an elegant expression reported in [13] (see also refs.[14, 15]), is given by [11, 16]

$$r = \frac{\langle t^2\rangle - \langle t\rangle^2}{\langle t\rangle^2} = \left(1 + \frac{[ATP]}{K_M}\right)^{-2}\left(\frac{1}{N_L} + 2\frac{\alpha}{N_L N_S}\frac{[ATP]}{K_M} + \frac{1}{N_S}\left(\frac{[ATP]}{K_M}\right)^2\right) \tag{4}$$

which involves three parameters N_L, N_S and α; from now onwards, we'll refer to eq.(4) as the MCB equation.

Although for an overwhelmingly large class of enzymatic reactions $\langle t\rangle$ and r follow the general forms of



FIG. 1: (Color online) The kinetic scheme of our model is shown. The integers $...j-1, j, j+1...$ denote the *spatial* positions of the motor. At each spatial position the motor can exist in one of the two allowed "internal" states that are labelled by 1 and 2. The arrows and the associated symbols depict the allowed transitions and the corresponding rates. Note that in the limit $\omega_b = 0$ this scheme reduces to the MM kinetics provided one identifies states 1 and 2 with the substrate-free and substrate-bound states of the enzyme and the rates $\omega_h, \omega_s, \omega_f$ with $\omega_{+1}, \omega_{-1}, \omega_2$, respectively, in equation (1).

eqs.(2) and (4), respectively [6], significant deviations from it have been observed in case of a few exceptional kinetic schemes (see ref.[11] for a recent review). One of these exceptional schemes arose originally in ref.[17, 18] in the context of a monomeric molecular motor kinesin KIF1A [8–10]. For $\langle t \rangle$ and r of this motor we derived [19] the exact analytical expressions which, unfortunately, show no obvious similarity or connection with eqns. (2) and (4).

The branched pathways in the kinetics of KIF1A [17, 19] (see fig.1) are suspected [11] to cause deviations from the forms (2) and (4) for $\langle t \rangle$ and r. In this letter we show that the expressions (2) and (4) correspond to the first couple of terms in the series obtained by expanding the corresponding exact results of ref.[19] in terms of the appropriate variables that we have now identified. A comparison of the series expansions and exact expressions of $\langle t \rangle$ and r for KIF1A with the corresponding expressions (2) and (4) highlight the contributions from the branched pathways in a systematic fashion. The new insight is likely to pave the way for eventual discovery of the most general expression for the [S]-dependence of $\langle t \rangle$ and r.

The full exact expression for $\langle t \rangle$ for the kinetic scheme shown in fig.1 is given by [19]

$$\langle t \rangle = \frac{(2\omega_b + \omega_f + \omega_h + \omega_s)\left\{\omega_b\sqrt{(2\omega_b + \omega_f + \omega_s - \omega_h)^2 + 4\omega_h\omega_s} + \omega_f\omega_h - \omega_b(2\omega_b + \omega_f + \omega_s - \omega_h)\right\}}{\{(2\omega_b + \omega_f)\omega_h\}^2} \tag{5}$$

which reduces to the simpler form (2) when $\omega_b = 0$.

For convenience, we introduce the symbols

$$\gamma = \omega_s/(2\omega_b + \omega_f + \omega_s), \tag{6}$$

$y = (2\omega_b + \omega_f + \omega_s)/\omega_h$, and *assume* that

$$(1-y)^2 \gg 4\gamma y \tag{7}$$

The approximation (7) is valid if ω_b is sufficiently small and ω_h is much larger than both ω_s and ω_f. Small ω_b helps in examining the connection with the limit $\omega_b = 0$. Moreover, since

$$\omega_h = \omega_h^0[ATP], \tag{8}$$

where ω_h^0 is independent of ATP concentration, large ω_h corresponds to high concentration of ATP provided ω_h^0 is not too small.

Under the approximation (7), eq.(5) reduces to

$$\langle t \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\omega_b + \omega_f)}\left\{1 + \frac{2\gamma\omega_b + \omega_f}{2\omega_b + \omega_f}\frac{\kappa}{[ATP]}\right\} + \frac{2\omega_b}{(2\omega_b + \omega_f)^2}\left(\frac{\kappa}{[ATP]}\right)^2 \sum_{m=0}^{M} \zeta_m \left(\frac{\kappa}{[ATP]}\right)^m, \tag{9}$$

where the Michaelis-like constant κ is given by

$$\kappa = \frac{2\omega_b + \omega_f + \omega_s}{\omega_h^0}. \tag{10}$$

and $M \to \infty$; the analytical expressions for the first five coefficients ζ_m $(m = 0, 1, .., 4)$ in (9) are given in appendix A in terms of the rate constants ωs. As $\omega_b \to 0$, $\kappa \to K_M$ and the approximate expression (9) also reduces to the form (2).

In fig.2 we have plotted the predictions of the series in (9) for $M = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ and compared these with the

corresponding prediction of the exact expression (5). The higher is the value of M, the better is the agreement with the exact result.



FIG. 2: (Color online) Average ATPase rate is plotted as a function of ATP concentration using inverse of the Eq.(5) (red), and Eq.(9) (dotted lines for $M = 0(\text{Blue}), 1(\text{Magenta}), 2(\text{Grey}), 3(\text{Green}), 4(\text{Black})$) for $\omega_b = 1125s^{-1}$. Other parameters are as follows: $\omega_f = 55s^{-1}$ and $\omega_s = 145s^{-1}$.

For a graphical test of the range of validity of the approximate expression (9) we plot the inverse of $\langle t \rangle$ (i.e., the average rate) in Fig.3 as a function of ATP concentration for a few different values of ω_b. Even with M as low as 4, the predictions of (9) are practically indistinguishable from those of (5), except at very low [ATP] (see the inset of Fig.3).



FIG. 3: (Color online) Average ATPase rates obtained from the exact expression (5) are plotted against [ATP] by continuous curves for $\omega_b = 0$ (red), $\omega_b = 30s^{-1}$ (blue), $\omega_b = 50s^{-1}$ (magenta) and $\omega_b = 100s^{-1}$ (green)). Black dotted lines for the respective ω_b values are obtained from the approximated expression (9). Other parameters used for this plot are $\omega_s = 145s^{-1}, \omega_f = 55s^{-1}$.

The exact expression for r in this model is [19]

$$r = \frac{2(2\omega_b+\omega_f)\omega_h\left[(2\omega_b+\omega_f)\omega_h - (2\omega_b+\omega_f+\omega_h+\omega_s)^2\right]}{(2\omega_b+\omega_f+\omega_h+\omega_s)^2\left[\omega_b(2\omega_b+\omega_f+\omega_s-\omega_h) - \omega_f\omega_h - \omega_b\sqrt{(2\omega_b+\omega_f+\omega_s-\omega_h)^2+4\omega_h\omega_s}\right]} - 1 \qquad (11)$$



FIG. 4: (Color online) Randomness parameter evaluated from the approximate expression (13) for $\omega_b = 1\ \text{s}^{-1}$ is compared with the corresponding prediction of the exact expression (11) by plotting both against [ATP]. The other parameters used for this figure are $\omega_s = 145\text{s}^{-1}$, $\omega_f = 55\text{s}^{-1}$, and $\omega_h^0 = 1000\text{s}^{-1}$ per mole.

In all the plots made above we used $\omega_h^0 = 10^7\text{s}^{-1}$ per mole. But, for approximating (11) by an infinite series that correlates directly with eq.(4), we now also *assume* that ω_h^0 is sufficiently small so that

$$[ATP]/\kappa \ll 1, \quad \text{in spite of high } [ATP]. \qquad (12)$$

Under the approximations (7) eq.(11) reduces to

$$r = \left(1+\frac{[ATP]}{\kappa}\right)^{-2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\xi_n\left(\frac{[ATP]}{\kappa}\right)^n; \qquad (13)$$

the expressions for the first six coefficients $\xi_n (n = 0, 1, .., 5)$ are given in the appendix B. The series in eq.(13) converges rapidly because of the condition (12) and it reduces to eq.(4) for $\omega_b = 0$. Over physiologically relevant range of concentration of ATP, which hardly ever exceeds few mM, the expression (13) can yield highly accurate estimate of r, as demonstrated by the graphical

comparison with the corresponding exact result (11) in fig.4).

In almost all branches of physical sciences series expansion has been an extremely powerful tool for systematic approximation of important quantities. In the same spirit in this letter we have introduced series expansion method for analyzing stochastic kinetics in single-molecule enzymology and single-motor biophysics. We have also established the utility of these *generalized* MM and MCB equations [20] by comparing these with the corresponding exact results. The series expansion approach is expected to be very useful if exact analytical treatment is impossible because of the complexity of the network of pathways in the mechano-chemical kinetics of the system.

Appendix A: Coefficients of the series for $\langle t \rangle$

$$\zeta_0 = -(1-\gamma)^2, \tag{A1}$$

$$\zeta_1 = 2\gamma(1-\gamma)^2, \tag{A2}$$

$$\zeta_2 = \gamma(2-5\gamma)(1-\gamma)^2, \tag{A3}$$

$$\zeta_3 = 2\gamma(1-6\gamma+7\gamma^2)(1-\gamma)^2, \tag{A4}$$

$$\zeta_4 = \gamma\left(2+5\gamma\left[\gamma\left\{20+7\gamma(4\gamma-5)\right\}-5\right]\right) \tag{A5}$$

where γ is given by eq.(6).

Appendix B: Coefficients of the series for r

$$\xi_0 = \frac{4\omega_b+\omega_f+\omega_s}{\omega_f+\omega_s} \tag{B1}$$

$$\xi_1 = \frac{2\left(2\omega_b\omega_f+\omega_s(\omega_f+\omega_s)\right)}{(\omega_f+\omega_s)^2} \tag{B2}$$

$$\xi_2 = \frac{16\omega_b^3\omega_f^2+\omega_f(\omega_f+\omega_s)^4+2\omega_b(\omega_f+\omega_s)(4\omega_f+5\omega_s)(\omega_f^2+\omega_s^2)+4\omega_b^2(5\omega_f^3+5\omega_f^2\omega_s+3\omega_f\omega_s^2+\omega_s^3)}{(2\omega_b+\omega_f)(\omega_f+\omega_s)^3(2\omega_b+\omega_f+\omega_s)} \tag{B3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_3 &= \frac{4\omega_b\omega_s}{(2\omega_b+\omega_f)(\omega_f+\omega_s)^4(2\omega_b+\omega_f+\omega_s)^2}\Big[-4\omega_b^2\omega_f(3\omega_f+2\omega_s)^2-2\omega_b(\omega_f+\omega_s)(3\omega_f+2\omega_s)(3\omega_f^2-4\omega_s^2)\\ &- 3(\omega_f+\omega_s)^3(\omega_f^2-\omega_f\omega_s-3\omega_s^2)-8\omega_b^3(3\omega_f^2+3\omega_f\omega_s+\omega_s^2)\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_4 &= \frac{4\omega_b\omega_s}{(2\omega_b+\omega_f)^2(\omega_f+\omega_s)^5(2\omega_b+\omega_f+\omega_s)^3}\Big[-3\omega_f^3(2\omega_b+\omega_f)^5-3\omega_f^2(2\omega_b+\omega_f)^5\omega_s\\ &+ \omega_f(2\omega_b+\omega_f)^3(16\omega_b\omega_f-4\omega_b^2+51\omega_f^2)w_s^2+\omega_f(2\omega_b+\omega_f)^2(40\omega_b^2+246\omega_b\omega_f+195\omega_f^2)\omega_s^3\\ &+ (2\omega_b+\omega_f)(24\omega_b^3+348\omega_b^2\omega_f+716\omega_b\omega_f^2+315\omega_f^3)\omega_s^4+(160\omega_b^3+840\omega_b^2\omega_f+922\omega_b\omega_f^2+267\omega_f^3)\omega_s^5\\ &+ (160\omega_b^2+330\omega_b\omega_f+117\omega_f^2)\omega_s^6+(50\omega_b+21\omega_f)\omega_s^7\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{B5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_5 &= \frac{4\omega_b\omega_s}{(2\omega_b+\omega_f)^2(\omega_f+\omega_s)^6(2\omega_b+\omega_f+\omega_s)^4}\Big[-3\omega_f^4(2\omega_b+\omega_f)^6+3\omega_f^3(\omega_f-2\omega_b)(2\omega_b+\omega_f)^5\omega_s\\ &+ 2\omega_f^2(2\omega_b+\omega_f)^4(31\omega_b\omega_f-2\omega_b^2+71\omega_f^2)\omega_s^2+2\omega_f^2(2\omega_b+\omega_f)^3(76\omega_b^2+404\omega_b\omega_f+329\omega_f^2)\omega_s^3\\ &+ 12\omega_f(2\omega_b+\omega_f)^2(12\omega_b^3+135\omega_b^2\omega_f+271\omega_b\omega_f^2+126\omega_f^3)\omega_s^4+2(2\omega_b+\omega_f)(24\omega_b^4+732\omega_b^3\omega_f+3034\omega_b^2\omega_f^2\\ &+ 3365\omega_b\omega_f^3+1036\omega_f^4)\omega_s^5+2(256\omega_b^4+2580\omega_b^3\omega_f+5644\omega_b^2\omega_f^2+4027\omega_b\omega_f^3+889\omega_f^4)\omega_s^6+6(140\omega_b^3\\ &+ 626\omega_b^2\omega_f+628\omega_b\omega_f^2+157\omega_f^3)\omega_s^7+(556\omega_b^2+1036\omega_b\omega_f+283\omega_f^2)\omega_s^8+(130\omega_b+37\omega_f)\omega_s^9\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

Acknowledgements

DC thanks Prabal Maiti and the Physics department of Indian Institute of Science for hospitality in Bangalore during preparation of this manuscript . This work has been supported by a J.C. Bose National Fellowship (DC) and a research grant from DAE (AG).

[1] For english translation of the original Michaelis-Menten paper (which was written in german), see (a) R.S. Goody and K.A. Johnson, Biochemistry **50**, 8264 (2011), and (b) T.R.C. Boyde, FEBS Lett. **587**, 2712 (2013).
[2] FEBS J. **281**, issue 2 (2014), special issue (ed. A. Cornish-Bowden) on Enzyme Catalysis and Allostery.
[3] FEBS Lett. **587**, issue 17 (2014), special issue (ed. A. Cornish-Bowden and C.P.Whitman) on the centennial of Michaelis-Menten kinetics.
[4] G.E. Briggs and J.B.S. Haldane, Biochem. J. **19**, 338 (1925).
[5] V.I. Claessen et al. Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem. **3**, 319 (2010).
[6] S.X. Xie, Science**342**, 1457 (2013).
[7] H. Qian and S.C. Kou, Annu. Rev. Stat. Appl. **1**, 465 (2014).
[8] D. Chowdhury, Phys. Rep. **529**, 1 (2013).
[9] D. Chowdhury, Biophys. J. **104**, 2331 (2013).
[10] A. B. Kolomeisky, J. Phys. Condens. Matt. **25**, 463101 (2013).
[11] J. R. Moffitt and C. Bustamante, FEBS J. **281**, 498 (2014).
[12] D. Chowdhury, FEBS J. **281**, 601 (2014).
[13] J.R. Moffitt, Y.R. Chemla and C. Bustamante, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA**107**, 15739 (2010).
[14] W. Jung, S. Yang and J. Sung, J. Phys. Chem. B **114**, 9840 (2010).
[15] S. Chaudhury, J. Cao and N.A. Sinitsyn, J. Phys. Chem. B **117**, 503 (2013).
[16] J.R. Moffitt, Y.R. Chemla and C. Bustamante, Methods Enzymol. **475**, 221 (2010).
[17] K. Nishinari, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 118101 (2005).
[18] P. Greulich, et al. Phys. Rev. E **75**, 041905 (2007).
[19] A. Garai and D. Chowdhury, EPL, **93**, 58004-p6 (2011).
[20] J. Wu and J. Cao, Adv. Chem. Phys. **146**, 329 (2012).